Determining Use Patterns for Museum, Archives, and Library Collections

Kent Bush, National Park Service Pacific West Region, 909 First Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98104; kent_bush@nps.gov

In the middle 1990s, National Park Service (NPS) Western Regional Curator Jonathan Bayless proposed a different way of doing collection management plans (CMPs). Three of us had been doing the classic "operations evaluation" type of CMP in the Southeast, Western, and Pacific Northwest regions for a number of years, and they just were not being accepted and used by the parks.

Bayless proposed changing the approach: rather than address technical issues in collections management procedures, address the core "issues" that were preventing the park staff from implementing a viable museum management program.

Along with this change in philosophy we realized that our view of any park museum operation was very single-dimensional, and that we had been relying mostly upon information from a single individual, or single park division, to identify and document the needs of the park as a whole. In order to expand our view of park operations and needs, we decided to survey the park staff in advance of our on site visit. Since I had an interest in survey methodology, I volunteered to develop the system.

Development of a meaningful survey requires some background study and testing. Through the annual conferences of the American Association of Museums (AAM) I had become acquainted with the Visitor Studies Association, and their quarterly publication Visitor Studies. I also used Randi Korn's book Visitor Surveys: A User's Manual (an AAM publication) and Judy Diamond's Practical Evaluation Guide: Tools for Museums and Other Informal Educational Settings (University of Nebraska Press), among other references.

We took the survey through two beta tests: one at Sequoia–Kings Canyon National Parks while doing a collections storage plan, and one at Yosemite National Park when developing a programmatic outline. In addition to tightening up the survey format, these test runs documented two other required factors:

- First, the survey must have "buy-in" from park management, and must be sent out under the superintendent's signature.
- Second, the survey must go to every member of the park staff, including both permanent and temporary-status employees.

With these two condition in place, we began getting some interesting results that were useable in understanding what was required in planning for museum operations in a specific park.

Survey Objectives

The primary objectives of the survey are to determine the following information:

- Percentage of staff using the park collections and library;
- Percentage of staff using non-park information resources;
- Primary areas (categories) of material use, and reasons for use of those specific resources;
- Primary reasons staff do not use park museum collections and library;
- What measures may be necessary to promote resource availability and use; and
- General impressions concerning the value and use of archives and museum collections.

In addition, some limited demographic information is collected to develop a collective length of service and experience profile, and to demonstrate equitable response to the survey from each park administrative unit. Demographics can also assist in understand-

ing the motivation and needs of the respondent population.

Survey Methodology

The survey is distributed to the temporary and permanent park staff under a memorandum from the superintendent, requesting that the survey be completed by a certain date and returned directly to the responsible CMP team member. Two types of questions were used to collect different types of information:

- Checklist questions designed to determine what types of services were being used, and what types of services were needed; and
- Evaluative questions designed to determine the respondents' attitudes toward park-specific operations, and servicewide operations in general.

Respondents were also given two opportunities to add comments: one in the "services used" and the other in the "services needed" sections. Write-in responses are not generally used in this type of survey because they often fail to elicit a statistically valid response, and any response that is generated is often difficult to quantify.

A response rate of 12% is required for this "mail-out/mail-in" type of survey to be considered statistically valid. The responses are then considered the "sample population" and results are considered to be representative of the "sample universe." Higher response rates naturally translate into more reliable statistics. In the twenty surveys conducted thus far, we have discounted the results in three due to inadequate response (less than 12%). The remaining 17 parks have various response rates between 12% and 83%, for an average response rate of 48%. As a result, we are confident these compiled results are accurate for the Pacific West Region (represented by ten parks) and the Intermountain Region (represented by seven parks).

We have documented some interesting trends:

• Over half the park staff use the park

- library, and under half use the park collections. More than one-third of the staff use non-NPS collections for their information needs.
- Park photo collections are used the most, followed by resource management records, historical archives and administrative records. Archaeological and historical collections were used less.
- Herbarium collections are used most among the natural science material, followed by geology, insect, mammal, and bird specimens.
- Project-related research drives most use, followed by information for visitors as a distant second reason. Use for maintenance and repair information accounts for a large segment of collections use (presumably park records and photographs).

We have discovered some interesting reasons why park staff do not use collections. Remember, anything over 10% is statistically significant:

- 45% don't know what types of collections are available:
- 32% don't know where the collections are located in the park; and
- 23% don't know who can get them into the collections.

It appears that the collections are a well-kept secret in most parks.

Park staff had some specific ideas on what was needed to improve the way collections were managed:

- 57% suggested providing a listing of what was in the collections;
- 42% suggested providing a finding aid to the collections;
- 27% suggested providing on-line services to support research;
- 22% suggested providing professional staff to manage the collections;
- 22% wanted remote computer access to the collections; and
- 19% wanted to combine the museum collections with the library.

These figures provide a good cross-check to the section on why collections were not being used. The implication is that we may solve the former by instituting the latter—which are just the kind of results you are looking for in a survey.

The evaluative section of the survey documented the value the staff ascribes to the management and use of archival, library, and museum collections in NPS.

- 85% think collections should be used to document park resources;
- 83% think there is value in parks maintaining these collections for use;
- 83% think the park collections should contain copies of all studies and reports done about the park;
- 78% think that the collections serve as an "institutional memory" for the park;
- 67% believe the collections should be consulted for information prior to beginning resource management projects; and
- 65% believe that park visitor centers should exhibit more material from park collections.

The implications documented here are overwhelming. It is apparent that park staff thinks the collections should document the park resources, should be maintained for study and use, and should be exhibited more to the public.

Conclusion

Over the past several years the survey has proved a useful tool in documenting the needs of park collections management, particularly in the Pacific West Region. It has provided park staff with a method to make their needs concerning archival, library, and museum collections known to park management. Moreover, it has given those of us involved in planning for these resources the ability to define and quantify those needs in an efficient manner. The survey also allows us to document and quantify the value the park staff ascribes to these resources. In turn, this knowledge should allow park management to better allocate available resources to collections preservation and management.

The compiled 17-park survey is appended below.

SECTION	I

These first questions will help us determine use patterns for museum, archives, and library collections. For the purpose of this survey, a "visit" to the collections also includes verbal, telephone and e-mail requests for information that would require the Collections Manager to find and communicate that information to you.

1.	Do you use the park library?	250 No 310	Yes		
If :	yes, about how many times in th	e past year?	4,872 (15.5	visits average)	
2.	Do you use the park collection	s/archives? 3	300 No 257	Yes	
If :	yes, about how many times in th	e past year?	3,433 (13 vi	sits average)	
3.	Do you use non-NPS collection	ns or archives	? 375 No 1	73 Yes	
If y	yes, about how many times in the	e past year?	1,402 (8 visi	its average)	
4.	What parts of the collections/arc (% from number of resp			nany as apply): ositive responses from #2,	above)
	Historic Archives	141 (55%)	٥	Administrative Records	104 (40%)
	Photo Collections	212 (82%)	o	Historic Collection	87 (34%)
	Archeological Collection	77 (30%)		Ethnological Collection	37 (14%)
	Herbarium	64 (25%)		Paleontological Collection	20 (07%)
	Insect Collection	29 (11%)		Geological Collection	39 (15%)
	Mammal Collection	33 (13%)	□	Bird Collection	30 (12%)
	Resource Management Records	(such as buildi	ing files, natur	al resources studies, archeolo	gical excavations reports)
14.	3 (56%)				
5.	What are the primary reasons you	u use the collec	ctions (check a	is many as apply):	
	(% from number of respo	onses divided	d by 257 pc	ositive responses from #2, a	ibove)
	Administrative Research	81 (32%)		Project Research	198 (77%)
	Develop Summer Programs	53 (21%)	o	Comparative Studies	43 (17%)
	Maintenance/Repair Information	n 61 (24%)		Historic Structure Information	on 62 (24%
	Publication	49 (19%) 🗇	Information for visitors	133 (52%)
	Exhibit/Programs	42 (25%)			
	Environmental Impact/Remediat	ion Research	48 (19%)		
	Other (please list):				

SECTION II

We realize there might be many different reasons park staff do not make use of the museum, archives, or library collections in their work, and it may well be possible to fix some of the situations if we know what to look for. Your open and honest response to this question would be appreciated.

6.	What are the primary reasons you do not use the collections (check as many as apply):					
	Don't know where the collections/archives are located			2%)		
	Don't know what types of collections are available			251 (45%)		
	Don't know how to find the collections I need			149 (27%)		
	Don't know who can get me into the collections			127 (23%)		
□	There is no place to look at/study the collections/archives			80 (14%)		
□	There are no supporting archives (reports, maps, photos)		37 (06	%)		
	The collections don't have the items I need		34 (06%)			
	The collections are not relevant to my job		142	2 (25%)		
	There is no place to work 52 (09 %)			No wet laboratory19 (03%)		
The	collections not physically accessible	e 72 (13 %)	no	dry laboratory 19 (03%)		
0	Not electronically accessible 102	(18%)		No preparation area 15 (03%)		
٥	There is no supporting library	25 (04%)	0	No study area 28 (05%)		
☐	There is no computer printer	14 (03%)		No copy machine 21 (04%)		
	Collections are not organized	41 (07%)	No	one to let me in 30 (05%)		
	Not open on a regular schedule	65 (12%)	□	The staff is not friendly n/a		
	There is no one to help me find things 39 (07%)			The staff is not helpful n/a		
	Collections are too far away from w	here I work.		35 (11%)		
(%	based on number of responses	to each question div	vided by	559, the total number of respondents		
to	the survey)					

SECTION III

There is always room for improvement. We are looking for some general trends and areas that may require innovation and shifts in the way museum, archives and library collections are managed. Again, your open and honest response to this question would be appreciated.

What could the collections/archives do to be more useful to you (check as many as apply):

	Move collections/archives to a more central location Suggestions: Consider development of "branch" collections	74 (13%) located at points of use.
σ	Open collections/archives different or longer days and hours Suggestions: Consider staggered days/hours of operations	87 (16%)
	Provide a listing of what is in the collections	317 (57%)
	Provide a finding aid to the collections	232 (42%)
	Combine museum collections with archives	91 (16%)
	Combine museum collections with library	107 (19%)
	Provide a work area ☐ Wet lab 25 (04%) ☐ Dry lab 37 (06%) ☐ Other: 20 (04*)	82 (15%) %)
0	Provide a computer hook up ☐ Printer 43 (08%) ☐ Copy machine 48 (09%) ☐ Other	75 (r: 13 (02 %)
	Provide on-line services to support research	151 (27%)
	Provide professional staff to organize and work on collections	124 (22%)
	Organize existing collections	101 (19%)
□	Organize existing collections in a different manner	25 (04%)
	Provide professional staff to assist with access to collections	114 (20%)
□	Provide remote computer access to collections/archives	125 (22%)
	Provide the type of collections I need Suggestions: based on number of responses to each question divided by 5	16 (03%)
1 1/0	nased on number of responses to each duestion divided by 5	59. THE TOTAL BUMBER OF RESPONDENT

(% based on number of responses to each question divided by 559, the total number of respondents to the survey)

SECTION IV

We are interested in your general and overall impressions regarding the value and use of museum, archives, and library collections within the NPS.

8. Please indicate the intensity of your opinion by circling one letter for each statement below.

A	U	D	A = Agree U = Uncertain D = Disagree
85%	6%	2%	Museum collections and archives should be used to document park resources.
10%	14%	68%	Park collections and archives are of no value to me in the completion of my job.
67%	17%	11%	Park archives, collections and libraries need professional management and care.
67%	27%	1%	Park collections and archives should be consulted prior to beginning resource management projects.
57%	22%	15%	Park collections and archives should be more available for park staff use.
60%	23%	7%	Park museum collections and archives are primary resources for the park.
54%	27%	10%	The best use for park collections is reference and research.
43%	42%	8%	Park collections would be more useable if combined with the archives and library.
26%	55%	13%	There is not enough emphasis on natural materials in park collections.
4%	15%	74%	Parks should not be expending staff, time and funding on museum collections.
83%	11%	1%	Park archives should contain copies of all studies and reports done about the park.
17%	50%	27 %	There is not enough emphasis on cultural material in park collections.
2%	8%	83%	There is no value in parks maintaining park museum collections or archives.
78%	14%	1%	Park collections and archives serve as the "institutional memory" of the park.
65%	20%	8%	Park Visitor Centers should exhibit more material from the park collections.
5%	32%	56%	Funds spent on museum collections and archives would be better spent on preservation of other park resources.

(% based upon number of responses in each category divided by 559, or total number of respondents to the survey)

SECTION V

In order to assure a well represented response from a cross section of park staff, we would appreciate a minimum amount of demographic information.

11 average Number of years in the NPS Number of years at current park 6 average Number of park units you have served in 3 average Current position (optional) Administration: 71 Interpretation: 62 Maintenance: 50 Resource Management: 81 other and/or not recorded: Number of years in current position 4 average Are you currently: Term/Seasonal/Temporary staff 216 not recorded: 28 Permanent staff 315 Please estimate the time you spent responding to this survey: 10 minuets average Parks Surveyed: Redwood National & State Parks Mount Rainier National Park Bents Old Fort National Historic Site Whiskeytown National Recreation Area Hopwell Culture National Historical Park Olympic National Park Hawaii Volcanoes National Park Cabrillo National Monument Great Basin National Park USS Arizona Memorial Lassen Volcanic National Park Mesa Verde National Park Hagerman Fossil Beds National Monument Southeast Utah Group Arches National Monument Canyonlands National Park Hovenweep National Monument Natural Bridges National Monument Total Number of Survey Forms Distributed:.....1,143



48%

Total Number of Survey Forms Returned:..... 559

Response Rate.....