Bird Checklists A Review and Guidelines

Ro Wauer

315 Padre Lane, Victoria, Texas 77905

hecklists have long been an extremely useful and inexpensive (sometimes free) information base for visitors and employees alike. A checklist and park brochure often are all the references needed to find many of an area's key natural resources. Although most North American parks have bird checklists, a few also have a checklist of mammals, reptiles and amphibians, trees and shrubs, and wildflowers. Checklists provide a first -evel database for learning about the park's biodiversity. A park's checklist of birds can be an extremely useful reference for anyone with an interest in birds, whether they are an avid birder or someone with only a casual interest in wildlife.

For three years (1990-93), I visited more than 100 national park areas in the United States and Canada, from Jasper National Park in Alberta to Everglades National Park in Florida, and from Gros Morne National Park in Newfoundland to Chiricahua National Monument in Arizona. Results of my travels included two published books-The Visitor's Guide to the Birds of the Eastern National Parks United States and Canada (1992) and a second one on the Rocky Mountain National Parks (1993); a third manuscript on the Central National Parks will be published in summer 1994. I plan to complete the set of four volumes with the Western National Parks bird book by 1995.

My research included considerable use of park references, thus providing an unusual opportunity to assess each area's bird checklist. Although I found that most checklists were well done, others

were inadequate or poorly done, and a few, frankly, were an embarrassment. It was obvious from the wide range of styles and formats found that there were no adequate guidelines. This paper is intended to fill that vacuum.

Review of Current Checklists

Of the 104 bird checklists gathered during the last three years, 75 (72%) were printed and 29 (18%) were xeroxed from either typed or computer-generated originals. Of the 75 printed checklists, 53 (71%) were folders with one to five folds; 18 (24%) were booklets with four to 12 stapled pages; and four (5%) were included in books of 32 or more pages. Sixty (58%) of the 104 checklists were dated; the remainder were undated. Thirty-nine (52%) of the 75 printed checklists were published by cooperating associations, 20 (27%) directly by national parks, and 16 (21%) by other organizations. The "other" organizations

included four book publishers, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Forest Service, state Natural Resource Departments, and several private organizations, such as Audubon Societies, Bird Clubs, and an Environmental Education Center.

The most obvious problems

The most obvious problems found included misspelling, incorrect and/or out-of-date bird names, bird names not in proper sequence, and obvious errors in status. For example, a checklist that includes Merlin as a nesting bird in a southwestern park or Greater Roadrunner in a northern forest park is simply incorrect.

Such errors are not only embarrassing to Parks Canada and the National Park Service, but for a park to give or sell such a reference is inexcusable. Although park agencies have little control over inaccuracies that occur in magazine articles and books written by non-employees, agencies or their cooperating associations do have control over "in-house" material. Handouts and sales materials published by the parks are representatives of that park's integrity and professionalism.

Preparing a Checklist of Birds

A bird checklist is a small, usually pocket-sized list of all species known to occur within a limited geographical area. It includes a blank space in front of each species so that the user can check off the species detected. The more useful checklists also include codes for species abundance by time of year, nesting status, and habitat preferences. And most

checklists also provide space for the user's name, date of observation, weather, and additional notes.

Where does one start in developing a checklist of birds? The first step is to recognize the value and need for such a document. The compiler must then gather together all previous park records into some kind of usable format so that each species can be adequately assessed. The park's "field observation" cards, if properly used and maintained, are extremely important, although pertinent reports and letters can also be very helpful. Checklists turned in by highly qualified birders provide valuable references as well.

Current computer files make the task of record compilation much easier than it once was when each species was entered into a notebook in such a way as to show time of year, abundance, and other factors. Less imaginative compilers used only the stack of field observation cards. Most parks possess a staff capable to undertake such a project, but if not, local bird or nature clubs or a knowledgeable individual in the adjacent community can usually be found to provide assistance. Local birders are usually honored to be asked for help, and will take on such a project with considerable interest and enthusiasm.

Should all parks develop a bird checklist? Except for the few historic sites without any natural habitat, every park should possess a checklist of birds that is readily available to the public. Checklists

cents) and prominently displayed are better, in my opinion, than free ones kept out of sight and available only on request. Visitors are more likely to purchase a checklist at a moderate price than they are to ask for a copy; the more active birders will acquire a checklist whether they are being sold or are free of charge. There are a few ground rules

priced at a minimum cost (25–50

that apply to compiling a checklist: Include only species that have actually been recorded within the park, not species that happen to fall within the area according to a field guide, state

Bird names must comply with those used in the most recent (1994) A.O.U. Check-list of North American Birds, published by the American Ornithologists' Union-the official arbitrator of the classification of North

bird book, or a regional check-

 Bird names must be listed in the sequence established by the A.O.U. Checklist, not alphabet-

American birds:

list;

All checklists must be dated; and,

 The checklist must be updated at least every three to five years.

Approximately ten years of bird records, depending upon the amount of birding activity in the park, are necessary before an adequate checklist can be prepared. Only actual on-site records should be utilized. The value of using only existing records is to establish a baseline that will then serve as a

reference for reporting new records. Species reported only five or fewer times should be included in a secondary "Hypothetical Species" list. Too many checklists are pub-

lished with the assumption that the new publication will suffice for several years. But this defeats the purpose of a checklist that should be used to highlight species for a possible change in status. For example, once a species on the Hypothetical Species list is recorded more than five times, it should be moved to the main list. Because of the need for regular revisions, most printed checklists should be published in a fairly inexpensive format. Xeroxed checklists that are neatly typed or computer-generated and folded are perfectly adequate. In fact, for new areas without an extensive avian database, such a method is recommended.

Checklists come in a wide range of formats, but pocket-sized checklists are handiest and receive the greatest use; larger-format bird lists often are left at home or in the vehicle and receive minimal use. I believe that the use of quality paper, so that the checklist does not come apart in the field, is far more important than an expensive production.

A few parks also offer an annotated checklist that amounts to a booklet or full-blown book. In such cases, each species has a few lines of description or annotations. Although these more extensive publications are extremely useful, they are not a substitute

for a field checklist.

What to Include

Abundance status should be included for each species for Spring (Sp), Summer (Su), Fall (Fa), and Winter (Wi), or, in southern areas that experience significant post-breeding dispersal, such as Big Bend National Park, Summer (Su), After Breeding (AB), Winter (Wi), and Migrant (Mi) categories may best apply (Wauer 1988). Consistency of abundance codes is extremely im-

portant so that "A" always means

abundant, not accidental; "C" always means common, not casual, "F" means fairly common, not frequent; "U" means uncommon; "R" means rare, "O" means occasional; "S" means casual; "X" means accidental; "I" means irruptive/irregular; and "E" means extirpated. And a key to abundance should be included that defines all the terms used.

A recent model for abundance codes was published in *Birding* (Allen 1993) and includes the following:

Category	Code	Definition	Numeric Criteria
Abundant	Α	Usually present in large numbers	±50/day
Common	C	Usually present in moderate numbers	10-50/day
Fairly common	F	Usually present in small numbers	5-10/day
Uncommon	U	Usually present in low numbers	1-5/day
Rare	R	One to a low number present annually	1-5/annually
Casual		Absent some years, but a low number pretimes each decade	esent several
Irruptive or Irregu		Fairly common to abundant some years, in others	totally absent
Accidental	X	One record, judged unlikely to be repeate	ed
Extirpated	E	No longer occurs in area, but formerly of occurrence	annual

Other Information	Code	Description
Permanent Resident	P	Individuals present throughout the year
Nesting or Breeding	Ν	One or more pairs known to nest annually
Migrant	М	Migrating individuals occur annually
Local	L	Not present throughout, but at least fairly
·		common where present

Breeding status can be shown in a separate column or by an asterisk or dot after the species name. If nesting is only assumed, the use of a question mark (?) adequately explains this status, informing birders to be extra watchful for nesting birds of that particular species.

Habitat designations are also extremely helpful and can easily be listed under the heading of Habitat Type (HT). Although habitats vary considerably across the continent, a few basic suggestions include Water (W), Riparian (R), Desert (D), Field (FI), Grassland (G), Meadow (M), Woodland (O) Forest (FO), Alpine (A), Tundra (T), and Urban (U). These can be expanded for further clarification: Lake (Wl), River (Wr), Coniferous Forest (Fc), Deciduous Forest (Fd), etc.

All of these symbols might appear on a checklist as illustrated below.

There are a few additional ingredients that can be included, and although each adds to the value of the checklist, they might be considered non-essential. These additional ingredients are listed in my order of priority:

- (1) Map with key birding sites.
- (2) Few of the most worthwhile references.
- (3) Birding ethics. The most complete "Code of Ethics" is that of the American Birding Association (1993) that includes 18 topics listed under four general headings: "I. Birders must always act in ways that do not endanger the welfare of birds or other wildlife. II. Birders must always act in ways that do not harm the natural environment. III. Birders must always respect the rights of others. IV. Birders in groups should assume special responsibilities."

Figures 1 and 2 are two examples of an excellent checklist.

Common Name	Seas	sonal A	bund	ance	Nesting	g Habitat
	Sp	Su	Fa	Wi		Type
Common Loon	C	С	С		*	Wl
Cooper's Hawk	F	F	F		?	R, FO
Snowy Owl				I		FI, M
American Robin	Α	С	C	R	*	O, FI, FO, U
American Pipit	U		F			FI, M

/ Legend:	>	Name:	HC	Sp	Sp Su Fa Wi	W	>	Name:	ЭН
		Red-throated Loon	ر					Ruddy Duck	WL
Habitat Codes (HC)		Pacific Loon Common Loon	¥ ₹	4	□ ◆			Turkey Vulture	
F - forest (all types)		Pled-billed Grebe	×	•			3	Osprey	WLR
C - coniferous forests][Horned Grebe	W.	•	•		3	Bald Eagle	WLR
P - pine or Douglas-fir forests	9	Red-necked Grebe	¥.	-	∢			Northern Harrier	WMGA
S - spruce or spruce/fir forests		Eared Grebe Western Grebe	۲ ∡	• <	• o][Sharp-shinned hawk Cooper's Hawk	DPGM
D – deciduous forests		American White Polican	, M	• 0				Northern Goshawk	FWA.
B - burntlands]	American winterendan	, A.			1		Broad-winged Hawk	ш
K - timberland (et inted onen foreste)		American Bittern	٠ ٨ ١	0 0	0 0	C		Swainson's Hawk	M P P S S S
K = (iii)deligilo (stairted, operitorests)][Great Earet	5 > ≥			_		Ferruginous Hawk	5
G - crassland		Green-backed Heron	>					Rough-legged Hawk	GMW
		Tundra Swan	×	0	0		1	Golden Eagle	AGM
		Trumpeter Swan	¥		,	ı		American Kestrel	FWBG
L - lakes		Snow Goose	_	1		1		Merlin	FWAT
T - rivers	1	Canada Goose	WLR	-][Peregrine Faicon Gydalcon	WAGA
W – wetlands (marshes & bogs)		Wood Duck	WL	0		1		Prairie Falcon	WAGM
 townsites, landfills (man-made habitats) 	3	Green-winged Teal	WLR	•		0		Gray Partridge	QM G
	9	Mailard	WLR	=		•		Ring-necked Pheasant	MGW
Ahundance.		Northern Pintail	۸	•	•			Spruce Grouse	O
]	Blue-winged Teal	⋠:	∢(• [Blue Grouse	BKMF
Abundance is based on the number of individuals of a][Cinnamon Teal	¥ ¥	• (White-tailed Ptarmigan	ΑWA
species a competent observer migni expect to mid in		Gadwall	X	•				Ruffed Grouse	90
מ און איני פיני משויים וויי איני פיני משויים וויי פיני משויים ווייים וויים וויים וויים ווייים ווייים ווייים ווייים וויים ווייים וויים וו		American Wigeon	WLR	•	•]]	Snarp-tailed Grouse	N CM
Common: more than 25		Canvasback	3	•	•	1		Virginia Rail	¥.
▲ Fairly Common: 6 to 25		Redhead		•	_ _		9[Sora	¥ ₹
Uncommon: 1 to 5	3	Ring-necked Duck	¥	4	4]	Alimencan coor	-
O Bare: one or none: unlikely to be seen	3	Lesser Scaup	۸k	4	∢ •			Black-bellied Plover	¥ ;
	9	Harlequin Duck	귙	4	0][Seminalmated Plover	ב ב ב
Usery hare, has been recolded tewel man 5 miles		Oldsquaw	ـ بـ	٥.	_ (Kildeer	WLRT
Breeding Status:		Surf Scoler White-winged Scoler		4 4	• •			American Avocet	교
		Common Goldeneye	WLR	۱4	0			Greater Yellowlegs	3
bold type indicates species known or believed to breed in Banff National Park		Barrow's Goldeneye	WLR.	-	4	01		Lesser Yellowlegs	×κ
The names and order of the species follow the		Bufflehead Hooded Merganser	X X	∢•	•	_	3	Solitary Sandpiper	WLM V
American Ornithologists' Union Checklist (1983).		Common Mercanser	<u>.</u>	•	•	0		Sported Sandpiper	W N
		Red-breasted Merganser	ч					Long-billed Curlew	Š
								•	

Sp Su Fa Wi

0

> Figure 1. Three panels from Banff National Park's 10-panel Checklist of Birds. Note the clearly explained habitat and abundance codes, and the use of symbols for the abundance coding. (Reduced to 68% of the original size.) 27

Volume 11

This checklist includes 179 birds that have been recorded in	Loons Gaviidae		Red-tailed Hawk	S,C,B
Kootenay National Park. Of these, 59 are definitely known to	Common Loon	S,K	Swainson's Hawk	<
breed here. This list also includes 11 species anticipated to occur in			Rough-legged Hawk	
the Park which have not been positively identified and so are	Grebes Podicipedidae		Golden Eagle	
treated as hypothetical.	Red-Necked Grebe	Η	H Bald Eagle	S,R
	Horned Grebe	M,R	Marsh Hawk	M,R
LEGEND	Eared Grebe	M,R	:	
	Western Grebe	M,R	M,R Osprey Pandionidae	c

S,R

Osprey

I

Pied-billed Grebe Herons Ardeidae Great Blue Heron

Falcons Falconidae

Prairie Falcon

Merlin

S,R

S,R S,R S,U,B

LEGEND

Assumes that the birder is looking for the birds in the right habitat - Common Sighted at least once on any field trip. Abundance and at the right time of year. Summer W - Winter Status S

Rare Few sightings per year and Hypothetical Assumed without proof to have been correctly Uncommon Not often seen. not necessarily every year. ١ I \supset ~ Permanent Migrant

Σ Д

records, not likely to be seen. Accidental Only one or two dentified. ١ ⋖ Breeding

B

Swans, Geese and Ducks Whistling Swan Canada Goose Snow Goose Anatidae

S,U,B S,R M,R S,U,B S,U Green-winged Teal Blue-winged Teal Mallard Pintail

Spruce Grouse P,U,B Ruffed Grouse P,C,B White-tailed Ptarmigan P,U,B

P,U,B

3lue Grouse **Tetraonidae**

Grouse and Ptarmigan

American Kestrel

Rails and Coots Rallidae Plovers Charadriidae American Coot S,U,B M,R M,R M.R American Widgeon Northern Shoveler Ring-necked Duck Lesser Scaup

S,U S,U,B

H S,U

Semipalmated Plover

Killdeer

M,R S,U S,U,B Common Goldeneye Barrow's Goldeneye Harlequin Duck Bufflehead

S,U,B M,R White-winged Scoter

Snipes and Sandpipers

Common Snipe

Scolopacidae

S,R,B M,R Common Merganser Ruddy Duck

S,C,B S,R,B M,R

M \mathbf{X},\mathbf{R} \mathbf{X}

Spotted Sandpiper Solitary Sandpiper Greater Yellowlegs Lesser Yellowlegs Red-breasted Merganser M.R Hawks and Eagles Accipitridae

Short-billed Dowitcher 3aird's Sandpiper Least Sandpiper P,R S,U S,R Sharp-shinned Hawk Goshawk

Western Sandpiper

.. Cooper's Hawk

Figure 2. Two panels from the 6-panel Checklist of Birds of Kootenay National Park. (Reduced to 88% of the original size.)

Published by authority of the Honourable John Roberts, Minister of the

Environment, En Publication No. QS-W039-000-EE-A2

^o Minister of Supply and Services Canada, 1980

Conclusions

Although a bird checklist may seem like a minor document to park administrators responsible for keeping the park afloat amid an ocean of budget cuts and bureaucracy, a park checklist (and brochure) may be the only park document ever used by a visitor. Therefore, it becomes the sole representative of that park, and should be prepared and published in a professional manner.

Literature Cited

- Allen, Larry W. 1993. A Plea for Consistency. Birding Oct.:346-348.
- American Birding Association. 1993. ABA Birders, Supplement to Birding, Vol. XXV, No. 5. Colorado Springs, Colorado. American Birding Association.
- American Ornithologists' Union. 1994. A.O.U. Check-list of North American Birds. American Ornithologists' Union.
- DeBenedictis, Paul A. 1993. The new taxonomy. *Birding Dec.:*441-443.
- Wauer, Roland H. 1988. Bird Checklist Big Bend National Park, Texas. Big Bend Natural History Association.
- ——. 1992. The Visitor's Guide to the Birds of the Eastern National Parks, United States and Canada. Santa Fe, New Mexico: John Muir Publishers.

