

the george wright society blennial conference on parks, protected areas & cultural sites st paul, minnesots | april 16-20, 2007

conference evaluation questionnaire

Won't you please take a few moments to fill out this questionnaire and then drop it off at the Registration Area? We read them all, and your answers are vital to helping us improve future conferences. For questions in italics, rank your answers on a scale of 1–5. Use the back of the sheet to expand on your answers or give us other comments. Thanks!

Are you a George Wright Society mem	Are you a George Wright Society member?				
Did you attend GWS2007 with a primary focus on (check one only): Natural resources Cultural resources					
Social sciences No primary focus — my interests are interdisciplinary					
The conference website and/or e-mail communications were 1 = Poorly organized, 5 = Well organized					
The conference Program Guide & Abstracts book, the Daily Schedule of Events, and the Late Changes handout					
were 1 = Not valuable, 5 = Quite valuable					
Which of the following statements best describes your experience at the Plenary Sessions?					
	Not interesting	Fairly interesting		Did not attend	
Plen. I, Climate change (Graumlich)					
II, Continental conservation (Locke)					
III, Native peoples (Panel discussion)					
IV, Civic reflection (Ritchie)					
V, Communications					
VI, North American park directors					
VII, The pristine myth (Mann)					
Which of the following statements bes	t describes your ex	perience at the Cor	ncurrent Sessions?		
☐ Only a few were interesting ☐ About half were interesting ☐ Many or most were interesting					
Did you attend any Plenary or Concurrent Sessions that If you answered "yes," was the experience worthwhile?					
were outside your usual areas of interest? \square Yes \square No \square Yes \square No					
How important is it that the GWS conferences be as \square Not important \square Somewhat important					
"green" as possible?				ught about it	
If you think it's "somewhat" or "very" important, how \$\square\$\$ \$\square\$\$ \$50					
much more would you be willing to pa	■ \$10				
fees to make future GWS conferences	but can't because of tight budgets				
If you were paying higher registration fees to make the • Donate to carbon offset program					
conference greener, what kinds of actions would you like • Publish on 100% post-consumer paper					
to see the extra money spent on? Rate each action 1-5, Provide Fair Trade coffee, organic food, etc					
with 1 = Low priority, 5 = High priority • Book only at venues certified by the Green Meeting					
(For info on what GWS already does to make these conferences more					
• Leave positive legacy in host city by making a contri-					
button to a local environmental charity					
		Ensure that all recyclable materials truly are recycled			
TO GO I GOVERN	11 .1	by the host venue _		· 210 T 1 ·	
If offered at future GWS conferences,	•	~ ~	-		
type of hour-long session, 6–8 speakers are given 5 minutes each to present their key ideas and results. In the					
remainder of the hour, presenters station themselves at separate tables where they can interact individually with audience members who are interested in learning more. \square Yes \square Yes, but only on condition that I be allowed					
to give a regular paper on the same topic, in addition to the flash presentation \square No, not interested					
to give a regular paper on the same topic, in addition to the mash presentation — 110, not interested					
Comparing this conference to others you have attended, and considering the value you received for your money,					
was your overall GWS2007 conference experience: 1 = Unsatisfactory, 5 = Very satisfactory					